

EAHyper:

Satisfiability, Implication, and Equivalence Checking of Hyperproperties

Bernd Finkbeiner, Christopher Hahn, and Marvin Stenger
Reactive Systems Group, Saarland University, Germany

The 29th International Conference on Computer Aided Verification
Heidelberg, Germany, 2017

Hyperproperties



Definition

A **Hyperproperty** $H \subseteq 2^{\mathcal{T}^R}$ is a set of sets of execution traces.
[Clarkson, Schneider, '10]

Example

Observational Determinism: “Program appears deterministic to low security users.”

Generalized Noninterference: “. . . additionally low-security outputs may not be altered by injection of high-security inputs.”

A Logical Approach to Information-Flow Control

HyperLTL [Clarkson, Finkbeiner, Koleini, Micinski, Rabe, Sánchez, '14]

MCHyper [Finkbeiner, Rabe, Sánchez, '15]

HyperLTL

- LTL + explicit trace quantification:
 $\exists \pi. \exists \pi'. \square a_\pi \wedge \square \neg a_{\pi'}$
satisfiable by $\{ \{a\}^\omega, \{b\}^\omega \}$
- Observational Determinism:
 - $\forall \pi. \forall \pi'. \square(I_\pi = I_{\pi'}) \rightarrow \square(O_\pi = O_{\pi'})$
 - $\forall \pi. \forall \pi'. (O_\pi = O_{\pi'}) \wedge (I_\pi \neq I_{\pi'})$

A Logical Approach to Information-Flow Control

HyperLTL [Clarkson, Finkbeiner, Koleini, Micinski, Rabe, Sánchez, '14]

MCHyper [Finkbeiner, Rabe, Sánchez, '15]

HyperLTL

- LTL + explicit trace quantification:
 $\exists \pi. \exists \pi'. \square a_\pi \wedge \square \neg a_{\pi'}$
satisfiable by $\{ \{a\}^\omega, \{b\}^\omega \}$
- Observational Determinism:
 - $\forall \pi. \forall \pi'. \square(I_\pi = I_{\pi'}) \rightarrow \square(O_\pi = O_{\pi'})$
 - $\forall \pi. \forall \pi'. (O_\pi = O_{\pi'}) \wedge (I_\pi \neq I_{\pi'})$
- EAHyper: Which variation is stronger?

EAHyper: <https://www.react.uni-saarland.de/tools/online/EAHyper>

A satisfiability solver for the decidable fragment of Hyperproperties [Finkbeiner, H., '16].



EAHyper: <https://www.react.uni-saarland.de/tools/online/EAHyper>

A satisfiability solver for the decidable fragment of Hyperproperties [Finkbeiner, H., '16].



- Satisfiability Checking:
 - Have we made a mistake in the formalization?
 - Is our Hyperproperty unsatisfiable or trivially true?
 - Are our correctness requirements consistent with certain information-flow policies?

EAHyper: <https://www.react.uni-saarland.de/tools/online/EAHyper>

A satisfiability solver for the decidable fragment of Hyperproperties [Finkbeiner, H., '16].



- Satisfiability Checking:
 - Have we made a mistake in the formalization?
 - Is our Hyperproperty unsatisfiable or trivially true?
 - Are our correctness requirements consistent with certain information-flow policies?
- Implication and Equivalence Checking:
 - Can we avoid overhead in the verification process?
 - Which variation of a certain information-flow policy is stronger?

Benchmarks

Table: Random formulas benchmark: instances solved in 120 seconds and average wall clock time in seconds for 250 random formulas.

size	40	60	40	60	40	60	40	60	40	60	40	60	40	60	40	60	40	60
	0A8E																	
solved	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250
avgt	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
	1A0E	1A0E	1A1E	1A1E	1A2E	1A2E	1A3E	1A3E	1A4E	1A4E	1A5E	1A6E	1A7E	1A8E	1A9E	1A9E	1A9E	1A9E
solved	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	249	250	249	247	250	248	249	247
avgt	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.13	0.02	0.04	0.08
	2A0E	2A0E	2A12	2A12	2A22	2A22	2A32	2A32	2A42	2A42	2A52	2A62	2A72	2A82	2A9E	2A9E	2A9E	2A9E
solved	250	250	250	250	248	249	249	247	247	247	247	248	246	246	244	246	244	247
avgt	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.12	0.03	0.01	0.26	0.02	0.32	0.02	0.09	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.03
	3A0E	3A0E	3A13	3A13	3A23	3A23	3A33	3A33	3A43	3A43	3A53	3A63	3A73	3A8E	3A9E	3A9E	3A9E	3A9E
solved	250	250	250	250	249	247	248	246	247	247	245	246	245	246	244	247	243	246
avgt	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.14	0.05	0.17	0.08	0.23	0.16	0.45	0.25
	4A0E	4A0E	4A14	4A14	4A24	4A24	4A34	4A34	4A44	4A44	4A54	4A64	4A74	4A8E	4A9E	4A9E	4A9E	4A9E
solved	250	250	250	250	250	246	247	246	245	246	244	247	245	247	244	245	0	0
avgt	0.01	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.21	0.03	0.35	0.09	0.23	0.28	0.46	1.01	0.98	2.41	-	-
	5A0E	5A0E	5A15	5A15	5A25	5A25	5A35	5A35	5A45	5A45	5A55	5A65	5A75	5A8E	5A9E	5A9E	5A9E	5A9E
solved	250	250	250	250	249	247	248	247	243	245	245	246	0	0	0	0	0	0
avgt	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.26	0.02	0.18	0.07	0.27	0.37	0.51	2.81	-	-	-	-	-	-

Benchmarks

Table: Checking implications between error resistant code formulas
 (2-safety Hyperproperties).

Ham	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
0	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.08	0.10	0.18	0.25	0.46	0.74	1.35	2.62
1	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.13	0.21	0.40	0.49	0.82	1.50	2.99
2	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.12	0.21	0.36	0.55	0.88	1.59	3.09
3	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.12	0.23	0.36	0.52	0.87	1.56	3.12
4	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.10	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.08	0.16	0.21	0.36	0.52	0.86	1.66	3.05
5	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.19	0.14	0.17	0.05	0.08	0.14	0.22	0.30	0.52	0.92	1.55	2.99
6	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.09	0.22	0.35	0.21	0.25	0.11	0.25	0.26	0.36	0.53	0.87	1.57	3.00
7	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.14	0.24	0.32	0.37	0.38	0.42	0.14	0.20	0.37	0.52	0.89	1.65	3.05
8	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.17	0.23	0.26	0.36	0.50	0.56	0.47	0.40	0.53	0.53	1.13	1.61	3.18
9	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.16	0.19	0.21	0.43	0.70	0.64	0.48	0.52	0.90	0.65	1.03	1.71	3.08
10	0.09	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.21	0.20	0.34	0.43	0.54	0.76	1.38	1.55	0.61	0.89	1.03	1.78	3.22
11	0.16	0.23	0.22	0.24	0.24	0.26	0.41	0.53	0.62	0.81	1.30	1.29	1.81	1.05	1.86	2.33	3.17
12	0.27	0.30	0.36	0.30	0.32	0.41	0.45	0.46	0.85	0.91	1.69	1.28	2.81	2.82	1.14	3.91	4.49
13	0.38	0.46	0.51	0.47	0.57	0.52	0.57	0.86	1.03	1.27	1.47	2.16	3.19	8.22	5.48	8.64	7.08
14	0.69	0.87	0.91	0.84	0.84	0.98	0.94	1.02	1.46	1.30	2.01	3.82	3.96	6.35	7.50	9.06	11.11
15	1.22	1.52	1.58	1.70	1.69	1.65	1.67	1.74	1.87	2.73	3.02	3.08	5.87	7.25	13.04	34.17	12.26
16	2.26	3.04	2.97	3.00	3.10	3.11	3.35	3.29	3.57	4.17	3.76	5.78	7.45	17.31	17.75	31.51	48.09

EAHyper

Try EAHyper online: <https://www.react.uni-saarland.de/tools/online/EAHyper>



Summary

- EAHyper checks the satisfiability, implication, and equivalence of HyperLTL formulas.
- EAHyper can be used to analyze hyperproperties and the relation between different formalizations.
- Code and Benchmarks are available online:
<https://www.react.uni-saarland.de/tools/eahyper/>

Bibliography

- [Clarkson, Schneider, '10] Clarkson, M. R., and F. B. Schneider. "Hyperproperties." *Journal of Computer Security* 18.6 (2010): 1157-1210.
- [Clarkson, Finkbeiner, Koleini, Micinski, Rabe, Sánchez, '14] Clarkson, M. R., Finkbeiner, B., Koleini, M., Micinski, K. K., Rabe, M. N., & Sánchez, C. (2014, April). Temporal logics for hyperproperties. In *International Conference on Principles of Security and Trust* (pp. 265-284).
- [Finkbeiner, Rabe, Sánchez, '15] Bernd Finkbeiner, Markus N. Rabe, and César Sánchez. Algorithms for Model Checking HyperLTL and HyperCTL*. *International Conference on Computer Aided Verification* (2015).
- [Finkbeiner, H., '16] Finkbeiner, Bernd, Hahn, Christopher. Deciding hyperproperties. *27th International Conference on Concurrency Theory, CONCUR 2016*