

## Automata, Games, and Verification: Lecture 13

**Theorem 1** For each parity tree automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $\Sigma$  there is a parity tree automaton  $\mathcal{A}'$  with  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}') = T_\Sigma - \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Proof:**

- $\mathcal{A}$  does not accept some tree  $t$  iff Player 1 has a winning memoryless strategy  $f$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A},t}$  from  $(\varepsilon, s_0)$
- Strategy

$$f : \{0,1\}^* \times M \rightarrow \{0,1\}^* \times S$$

can be represented as

$$f' : \{0,1\}^* \times M \rightarrow \{0,1\}$$

(where  $f(u, (q, \sigma, q'_0, q'_1)) = (u \cdot i, q'_i)$  iff  $f'(u, \tau) = i$ ).

- $f'$  is isomorphic to

$$g : \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow (M \rightarrow \{0,1\})$$

( $M \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is the finite “local strategy”)

- Hence,  $\mathcal{A}$  does not accept  $t$  iff

(1) there is a  $(M \rightarrow \{0,1\})$ -tree  $\nu$  such that

(2) for all  $i_0, i_1, i_2, \dots \in \{0,1\}^\omega$

(3) for all  $\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots \in M^\omega$

(4) if

– for all  $j$ ,

$$\tau_j = (q, a, q'_0, q'_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow a = t(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_j) \text{ and}$$

–  $i_0 i_1 \dots = \nu(\varepsilon)(\tau_0)\nu(i_0)(\tau_1) \dots$

then the generated state sequence  $q_0 q_1 \dots$

$$\text{with } q_0 = s_0, (q_j, a, q^0, q^1) = \tau_j,$$

$$q_{j+1} = q^{\nu(i_0, \dots, i_{j-1})(\tau_j)} \text{ for all } j$$

violates  $c$ .

- Condition (4) is a property of words over

$$\Sigma' = \underbrace{(M \rightarrow \{0,1\})}_\nu \times \underbrace{\Sigma}_t \times \underbrace{M}_\tau \times \underbrace{\{0,1\}}_i$$

and can be checked by a parity word automaton  $\mathcal{A}_4 = (S_4, \{s_4\}, T_4, c_4)$ :

- $S_4 = S \cup \{\perp\}$ ;
- $s_4 = s_0$ ;
- $T_4 = \{(q, (f, a, (q, a, q'_0, q'_1), i), q'_i) \mid q \in S, f : M \rightarrow \{0, 1\},$   
 $(q, a, q'_0, q'_1) \in M, i = f(q, a, q'_0, q'_1)\}$   
 $\cup \{(q, (f, a, (q, a', q'_0, q'_1), i), \perp) \mid a \neq a' \text{ or } i \neq f(q, a', q'_0, q'_1)\}$   
 $\cup \{(\perp, a, \perp) \mid a \in \Sigma'\}$ ;
- $c_4(q) = c(q) + 1$  for  $q \in S$ ;
- $c_4(\perp) = 0$ .
- Condition (3) is a property of words  $(M \rightarrow \{0, 1\}) \times \Sigma \times \{0, 1\}$  which results from (4) by universal quantification (= complement; project; complement)  $\Rightarrow$  there is a deterministic parity word automaton  $\mathcal{A}_3$  that checks (3).
- Condition (2) defines a property of  $(M \rightarrow \{0, 1\}) \times \Sigma$ -trees. It can be checked by a tree automaton  $\mathcal{A}_2 = (S_2, s_2, M_2, c_2)$ , simulating  $\mathcal{A}_3$  along each path:
  - $S_2 = S_3$ ;
  - $s_2 = s_3$ ;
  - $M_2 = \{(q, (f, a), q'_0, q'_1) \mid (q, (f, a, 0), q'_0) \in T_3, (q, (f, a, 1), q'_1) \in T_3\}$ ;
  - $c_2 = c_1$ .
- Condition (1) is a property on  $\Sigma$ -trees: Use nondeterminism to guess  $M \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  label:  $\mathcal{A}_1 = (S_1, s_1, M_1, c_1)$ , where
  - $S_1 = S_2$ ;
  - $s_1 = s_2$ ;
  - $M_1 = \{(q, a, q'_0, q'_1) \mid \exists f : M \rightarrow \{0, 1\}. (q, (f, a), q'_0, q'_1) \in M_2\}$ ;
  - $c_1 = c_2$ .

■

## 18 Monadic Second-Order Theory of Two Successors (S2S)

### Syntax:

- first-order variable set  $V_1 = \{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$
- second-order variable set  $V_2 = \{X_0, X_1, \dots\}$
- Terms  $t$ :

$$t ::= \varepsilon \mid x \mid t_0 \mid t_1$$

- Formulas  $\varphi$ :

$$\varphi ::= t \in X \mid t_1 = t_2 \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi_0 \vee \varphi_1 \mid \exists x.\varphi \mid \exists X.\varphi$$

### Semantics:

- first-order valuation  $\sigma_1 : V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^*$

- second-order valuation  $\sigma_2 : V_2 \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{B}^*}$

Semantics of terms:

- $\llbracket \varepsilon \rrbracket = \varepsilon$
- $\llbracket x \rrbracket_{\sigma_1} = \sigma_1(x)$
- $\llbracket t0 \rrbracket_{\sigma_1} = \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\sigma_1} 0$
- $\llbracket t1 \rrbracket_{\sigma_1} = \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\sigma_1} 1$

Semantics of formulas:

- $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models t \in X$  iff  $\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\sigma_1} \in \sigma_2(X)$
- $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models t_1 = t_2$  iff  $\llbracket t_1 \rrbracket_{\sigma_1} = \llbracket t_2 \rrbracket_{\sigma_1}$
- $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models \neg\varphi$  iff  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \not\models \varphi$
- $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models \varphi_0 \vee \varphi_1$  iff  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models \varphi_0$  or  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models \varphi_1$
- $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models \exists x_i. \varphi$  iff there is a  $a \in \mathbb{B}^*$  s.t.

$$\sigma'_1(y) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1(y) & \text{if } x \neq y, \\ a & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and  $\sigma'_1, \sigma_2 \models \varphi$

- $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models \exists X_i. \varphi$  iff there is a  $A \subseteq \mathbb{B}^*$  s.t.

$$\sigma'_2(Y) = \begin{cases} \sigma_2(Y) & \text{if } X \neq Y \\ A & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and  $\sigma_1, \sigma'_2 \models \varphi$

**Examples:**

- “node  $x$  is a prefix of node  $y$ ”

$$x \leq y \Leftrightarrow \forall X. ((y \in X \wedge \forall z.(z0 \in X \Rightarrow z \in X) \wedge \forall z.(z1 \in X \Rightarrow z \in X)) \Rightarrow x \in X)$$

- “ $X$  is linearly ordered by  $\leq$ ”

$$\text{Chain}(X) \Leftrightarrow \forall x. \forall y. ((x \in X \wedge y \in X) \Rightarrow (x \leq y \vee y \leq x))$$

- “ $X$  is a path”

$$\text{Path}(X) \Leftrightarrow \text{Chain}(X) \wedge \neg \exists Y. (X \subseteq Y \wedge X \neq Y \wedge \text{Chain}(Y))$$

$$X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow \forall z. (z \in X \Rightarrow z \in Y)$$

$$X = Y \Leftrightarrow X \subseteq Y \wedge Y \subseteq X$$

- “ $X$  is infinite”

$$\text{Inf}(X) \Leftrightarrow \exists Y. (Y \neq \emptyset \wedge \forall y \in Y. \exists y' \in Y. \exists x' \in X. (y < y' \wedge y < x'))$$

**Theorem 2** For each Muller tree automaton  $\mathcal{A} = (S, s_0, M, \mathcal{F})$  over  $\Sigma = 2^{V_2}$  there is a S2S formula  $\varphi$  over  $V_2$  s.t.  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  iff  $\sigma_2 \models \varphi$  where  $\sigma_2(P) = \{q \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid P \in t(q)\}$ .

**Proof:**

Use  $\bar{R} = (R_q)_{q \in S}$  to encode the run tree.

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi &\Leftrightarrow \exists \bar{R}. (\text{Part} \wedge \text{Init} \wedge \text{Trans} \wedge \text{Accept}) \\
\text{Part} &\Leftrightarrow \forall x. \bigvee_{q \in S} \text{State}_q(x) \\
\text{State}_q(x) &\Leftrightarrow x \in R_q \wedge \bigwedge_{q' \in S \setminus \{q\}} \neg(x \in R_{q'}) \\
\text{Init} &\Leftrightarrow \text{State}_{s_0}(\varepsilon) \\
\text{Trans} &\Leftrightarrow \forall x. \bigvee_{(q, A, q'_0, q'_1) \in M} (\text{State}_q(x) \wedge (\bigwedge_{V \in A} x \in V \wedge \bigwedge_{V \notin A} \neg(x \in V)) \wedge \\
&\quad \wedge \text{State}_{q'_0}(x_0) \wedge \text{State}_{q'_1}(x_1)) \\
\text{InfOcc}_q(P) &\Leftrightarrow \exists Q. (Q \subseteq P \wedge Q \subseteq R_q \wedge \text{Inf}(Q)) \\
\text{Muller}(P) &\Leftrightarrow \bigvee_{F \in \mathcal{F}} (\bigwedge_{q \in F} \text{InfOcc}_q(P) \wedge \bigwedge_{q \notin F} \neg \text{InfOcc}_q(P)) \\
\text{Accept} &\Leftrightarrow \forall P. (\text{Path}(P) \Rightarrow \text{Muller}(P))
\end{aligned}$$

■

**Theorem 3** For every S2S formula  $\varphi$  over  $V_1, V_2$  there is a Muller tree automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $\Sigma = 2^{V_1 \cup V_2}$  such that  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$  iff  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models \varphi$  where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_1(x) &= q \text{ iff } x \in t(q); \\
\sigma_2(X) &= \{q \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid X \in t(q)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof:**

First, we rewrite S2S formulas to a normal form, for which we only have the following types of equalities:

$$x = \varepsilon, x = y0, x = y1, x \in Y, x = y$$

Next we inductively translate S2S formulas to tree automata. (Analogous to the proof for S1S in Lecture 8.) For example:

- $x \in Y$ :
  - $S = \{q_0, q_1\}$
  - $s_0 = q_0$
  - $M = \{(q_0, A, q_0, q_1) \mid x \notin A\}$   
 $\cup \{(q_0, A, q_1, q_0) \mid x \notin A\}$   
 $\cup \{(q_0, A, q_1, q_1) \mid x \in A, Y \in A\}$   
 $\cup \{(q_1, A, q_1, q_1) \mid x \notin A\}$
  - $\mathcal{F} = \{\{q_1\}\}$

■