

Automata, Games, and Verification

Please send a mail to agv15@react.uni-saarland.de if you can't make it to the discussion session.

1. Every deterministic Büchi automaton is semi-deterministic.
 - True False

2. Consider the construction of a semi-deterministic Büchi automaton \mathcal{A}' from a given Büchi automaton $\mathcal{A} = (\Sigma, Q, I, T, \text{BÜCHI}(F))$, as given in the lecture. The number of *accepting* states of \mathcal{A}' is
 - super-exponential in $|Q|$. $|F|$.
 - exponential in $|Q|$. $|F|$.
 - quadratic in $|Q|$. $|F|$.
 - linear in $|Q|$. $|F|$.
 - equal to $|Q|$. $|F|$.

3. The languages recognizable by a semi-deterministic Büchi automaton are closed under \cup .
 - True False

4. The languages recognizable by a semi-deterministic Büchi automaton are closed under \cap .
 - True False

5. Let $\mathcal{A}' = (\Sigma, Q', I', T', \text{BÜCHI}(F'))$ be a semi-deterministic automaton constructed from a Büchi automaton $\mathcal{A} = (\Sigma, Q, I, T, \text{BÜCHI}(F))$ as given in the lecture. Which of the following are true?
 - $\exists \mathcal{A}. \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}')$ $q \in Q$ is reachable $\Rightarrow (\{q\}, \emptyset) \in Q'$ is reachable
 - $\forall \mathcal{A}. \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}')$ $(\{q\}, \emptyset) \in Q'$ is reachable $\Rightarrow q \in Q$ is reachable
 - $q \in F \Rightarrow \exists L_1, L_2, L'_1, L'_2 \subseteq Q, \sigma \in \Sigma. q \in L'_2 \wedge ((L_1, L_2), \sigma, (L'_1, L'_2)) \in T'$

6. A run of a parity automaton is accepting iff $\max\{c(q) \mid q \in \text{Inf}(\alpha)\}$ is even. The expressiveness of parity automata does not change iff we change
 - max to min. even to odd. $q \in \text{Inf}(\alpha)$ to $q \in Q$.
 - $q \in \text{Inf}(\alpha)$ to $q \in Q \setminus \text{Inf}(\alpha)$.

7. Consider the construction of a deterministic Muller automaton $\mathcal{A}' = (\Sigma, Q', \{q_0\}, T', \text{MULLER}(\mathcal{F}))$ from a semi-deterministic Büchi automaton $\mathcal{A} = (\Sigma, Q, I, T, \text{BÜCHI}(F))$, as given in the lecture. Then $\mathcal{F} = \{F' \subseteq Q' \mid \exists i \in 1, \dots, 2m \mid \dots$
 - $\dots \forall (N', f) \in F'. f(i) \neq \sqcup$ $\dots \exists (N', f) \in F'. f(i) \notin F$
 - $\dots \forall (N', f) \in F'. f(i) = \sqcup$ $\dots \exists (N', f) \in F'. f(i) \in F$
 - $\dots \forall (N', f) \in F'. f(i) \neq \sqcup \vee f(i) \notin F$

8. Consider the construction of a deterministic Muller automaton $\mathcal{A}' = (\Sigma, Q', \{q_0\}, T', \text{MULLER}(\mathcal{F}))$ from a semi-deterministic Büchi automaton $\mathcal{A} = (\Sigma, Q, I, T, \text{BÜCHI}(F))$, as given in the lecture. Do we conceptually need the additional “ \sqcup ” element, as used in this construction, or is it just introduced to make the formulation of the construction simpler?
 - Yes No