

## Recursion Theory

---

### Problem 1: Sum of Sets

2 + 2 Points

The (recursion-theoretic) sum of two sets  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is defined as

$$A \oplus B = \{2x \mid x \in A\} \cup \{2x + 1 \mid x \in B\}.$$

Show that  $A \oplus B$  is the least upper bound of  $A$  and  $B$  with respect to  $\leq_m$ , i.e., show

1.  $A \leq_m A \oplus B$  and  $B \leq_m A \oplus B$ , and
2. if  $A \leq_m C$  and  $B \leq_m C$ , then also  $A \oplus B \leq_m C$ .

### Problem 2: Reductions

1 + 2 + 2 + 2 Points

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of prime numbers, let  $E = \{e \mid \text{dom}(\varphi_e) = \emptyset\}$ ,  $\text{Tot} = \{e \mid \text{dom}(\varphi_e) = \mathbb{N}\}$ , and  $\text{Inf} = \{e \mid \text{dom}(\varphi_e) \text{ infinite}\}$ .

Show  $\mathcal{P} \leq_m E \leq_m \text{Tot} \equiv_m \text{Inf}$ .

### Problem 3: Tongue-in-Cheek

1 Point

Some natural numbers can be defined by sentences (in English) with at most twenty-five words, e.g.,

- 0: the number of wings the majority of people has.
- 1: the number of noses the majority of people has.
- 2: the number of ears the majority of people has.

Let  $n_0$  be the smallest natural number that cannot uniquely be defined by sentences (in English) with at most twenty-five words.

Is  $n_0$  well-defined?